

Evaluating the Safety of Intermediate Care Unit Monitoring for Patients with Intermediate-High Risk Pulmonary Embolism Following Aspiration Thrombectomy



Tetyana Okan¹, Dora Vilendecic¹, Nika Cujkevic-Plecko¹, Albert Fang¹, Myles Coven¹, Gagandeep Singh^{1,2}, David Coven^{1,2}, Suresh Jain^{1,2}, Aditya Mangla^{1,2}, Zoran Lasic^{1,2}

Jamaica Hospital Medical Center¹, Northwell Health Lenox Hill Hospital²

BACKGROUND

- Pulmonary embolism (PE) represents the third leading cause of cardiovascular mortality in the United States [1].
- Intermediate-high risk pulmonary embolism (PE) is associated with a significant 30-day mortality rate of 9.1% when managed with medical therapies alone. Consequently, the initial treatment for these patients is typically conducted in the intensive care unit (ICU).
- Novel aspiration thrombectomy devices can rapidly stabilize patients' hemodynamic parameters, improve oxygenation, and reduce thrombus burden in the pulmonary tree.
- It remains uncertain whether advancements in PE treatment can enable safe monitoring in an intermediate care unit (IMCU) setting.

AIM of the STUDY

- Our purpose of the study was to evaluate the safety of intermediate care unit monitoring for patients with intermediate-high risk pulmonary embolism who underwent aspiration thrombectomy.

CONFLICTS of INTEREST

- No authors report conflict of interest pertinent to the context of the abstract.

METHODS

- All patients with acute intermediate-high risk PE who were treated with aspiration thrombectomy from October 2022 to October 2024 were identified.
- Both mechanical and manual aspiration thrombectomy devices were utilized.
- Patients deemed stable at the conclusion of the procedure in the catheterization laboratory were admitted to the IMCU at the operator's discretion.

RESULTS

- During the study period, from October 2022 to October 2024:
- Total of 42 patients with acute intermediate-high risk pulmonary embolism (PE) were treated with aspiration thrombectomy and admitted to the IMCU
- The in-hospital mortality rate was 2.3% (1/42)
- All patients who were contacted after discharge (66% - 27/41) were alive at the 30-day follow-up

DISCUSSION

- Existing validated risk stratification tools such as the PE Severity Index Score or *PESI Score* have enabled the rapid identification of patients with low versus high-risk of mortality due to PE.

DISCUSSION

- Intermediate-high risk PE is defined as pulmonary embolism with hemodynamic instability, evidence of right ventricular dysfunction and elevated cardiac biomarkers [2].
- Patients with intermediate-risk PE account for 35% to 55% of hospitalized patients presenting with PE and have an associated mortality rate of 5% to 24% [1,3].
- The therapeutic management of intermediate-high risk pulmonary embolism in the acute setting is challenging and requires a close monitoring of hemodynamics in the MICU or IMCU units.
- Management of the intermediate-high risk PE includes systemic anticoagulation and mechanical or aspirational thrombectomy.

CONCLUSIONS

- Aspiration thrombectomy for patients with acute intermediate-high risk pulmonary embolism facilitates rapid improvement in overall patient status and enables safe monitoring in the IMCU.
- This approach demonstrates excellent in-hospital and intermediate-term outcomes, reducing hospital stay costs and optimizing the use of limited resources for critically ill patients.

REFERENCES

1. Giri J, Sista A.K., Weinberg L, et al. Interventional therapies for acute pulmonary embolism: current status and principles for the development of novel evidence: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;140(20):e74-e801.
2. Bibi Ayesha Bissa, Elizabeth Little, Izak Loftus, et al. Intermediate-high risk pulmonary embolism: When teamwork really matters. *Thrombosis Update*, Volume 14, 2024, 100157, ISSN 2666-5727.
3. Bělohávek J., Dytřich V., Linhart A. Pulmonary embolism, part I: epidemiology, risk factors and risk stratification, pathophysiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis and nonthrombotic pulmonary embolism. *Exp Clin Cardiol*. 2013;18(2):129–138.