Comparative Effectiveness of Riociguat versus Treprostinil in Chronic Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension: A Propensity-Matched Analysis Using the TriNetX Research Network

Ekow Arhin Essien MD, Karldon Nwaezeapu MD, Abena Agyekum MD, Justice Owusu-Achiaw MD, Edmund Mireku Bediako MD Advocate Aurora Health Care, Trinity Health Ann Arbor, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, 37 Military Hospital, The Shelburne Hospital Contact: Ekow Essien, MD | ekowe94@gmail.com

Background

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary
hypertension (CTEPH) is a progressive form of
pulmonary hypertension with significant
morbidity and mortality. Both riociguat and
treprostinil are approved treatments, but
comparative effectiveness data remain limited.

Objective

To compare the effectiveness and safety of riociguat versus treprostinil in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH).

Methods

- Design: Retrospective cohort study using TriNetX Global Collaborative Network (129 healthcare organizations)
- Population: Patients diagnosed with CTEPH (ICD-10 code I27.24)
- Treatment groups: Treprostinil (n=314) vs Riociguat (n=2,371)
- Analysis: Propensity score matching for demographics and clinical characteristics
- Final cohorts: 310 patients in each matched group
- · Primary outcome: All-cause mortality
- Secondary outcomes: Cardiovascular events, arrhythmias, hospitalizations
- · Follow-up: Five-year period

Primary Outcome

All-cause mortality:

Treprostinil: 29.3%

Riociguat: 17.1%

Risk Ratio: 1.715 95% CI: 1.270-2.316 p < 0.001 Hazard Ratio:

1.975

95% CI: 1.406-2.773 p < 0.001



Secondary Outcomes

Cerebrovascular Disease



RR 0.464

Cerebrovascular disease incidence: Lower in treprostinil group (5.5% vs 11.8%; RR 0.464, 95% CI 0.253-0.852; p=0.011)

No significant differences observed in:

- · Heart failure outcomes
- · Cardiac arrhythmias
- Acute kidney injury
- Hospitalizations requiring coronary interventions

Results Summary

In this large propensity-matched analysis of CTEPH patients, riociguat treatment was associated with significantly lower all-cause mortality compared to treprostinil.

Key findings:

- 72% higher mortality risk with treprostinil vs riociguat
- Reduced cerebrovascular disease risk with treprostinil
- No significant differences in other cardiovascular outcomes

Conclusion

Riociguat treatment was associated with significantly lower all-cause mortality compared to treprostinil in CTEPH patients. The differential impact on cerebrovascular outcomes suggests complex mechanisms of action that warrant further investigation.

Clinical Implications: These findings provide real-world evidence to inform treatment selection in CTEPH management, suggesting potential survival advantages of riociguat over treprostinil in this high-risk population.

Strengths and Limitations

Strengths:

- Large sample size
- Propensity matching
- Real-world evidence
- Multi-center data
- Five-year follow-up

Limitations:

- Retrospective design
- Potential residual confounding
- Medication adherence unknown
- Disease severity not captured