## Factors Affecting Outpatient Follow Up for Low-Risk PE: A Single Center Experience

Leah Yuan MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Kate Pommert MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Deepanjali Jain MD<sup>1,3</sup>, Abhaya Trivedi MD<sup>1,3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Rush University Medical Center, <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, <sup>3</sup>Division of Pulmonary Critical Care and Sleep Medicine

### Objectives Background The Pulmonary Embolism Response Team (PERT) at Rush **1.** To evaluate the demographics and risk factors associated with University Medical Center (RUMC) is activated for all pulmonary outpatient follow-up for patients with low-risk PE embolisms identified on imaging, regardless of risk stratification. This allows for the ability to track long-term 2. To identify patient populations who may be at risk for follow-up for patients with low-risk PE. inadequate follow-up

Dedicated PE follow up has been shown to increase the rate of diagnosis and early treatment for significant sequelae of PE, such as CTEPH.

Patients with intermediate or high-risk PE often have good follow-up. However, follow-up may be inadequate for those with low-risk PE.

3. To set up the stage for further studies regarding follow-ups for patients with low-risk PE

Results

	With 6-mo f/up (N= 108)	Without 6-mo f/up (N=53)
Age	56 years (SD 15)	58 years (SD 18)
BMI	31.48 (SD 7.70)	29.94 (SD 9.63)
Female	33 (62%)	51 (48%)
3-month follow up	48 (91%)	47 (44%)

Demographics of patients with and without appropriate 6-month follow-up

With 6-month f/up (N - 108) - no (%)

Without 6-month f/up (N - 52) - no (%)

## Methods

### Single-center retrospective pilot study

229 PERT activations for low-risk PE Aug 2021 – Jun 2022



	(N= 108) - NO. (%)	(N=55) – NO. (%)
Active smoker	7 (13%)	17 (16%)
Former smoker	22 (48%)	44 (49%)
<b>Pre-existing lung</b>		
disease	5 (9%)	16 (15%)
OSA (mod/severe)	9 (17%)	9 (9%)
Heart failure	5 (11%)	22 (21%)
Malignancy	17 (32%)	22 (21%)
<b>Prior DVT</b>	10 (19%)	29 (27%)
Prior PE	9 (17%)	28 (26%)

Co-morbidities of patients with and without appropriate 6-month follow-up



- Our preliminary data suggests that there may be factors predictive of 6-month follow up in patients diagnosed with low-risk PE.  $\bullet$
- Interestingly, patients without follow-up at 6 months were more likely to have a prior DVT/PE compared to those who had appropriate follow-up at 6 months

# **Next Steps**

- Expand the study to include multiple institutions to maximize external validity  $\bullet$
- Identify populations that are at risk for poor follow-up
- Evaluate the association between long-term PE complications and appropriate follow-up

