Patient Outcomes of Emergent Venoarterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Support in Acute Pulmonary Embolism

Ravin Chaudhury¹, Arvinda Nanjundappa MD¹, Ihad Haddadin MD¹,

Leben Tefera MD¹, and Pulkit Chaudhury MD¹

Cleveland Clinic



- > All patients underwent VA-ECMO cannulation before aspiration thrombectomy
- Patient data included demographics, clinical presentations, and outcomes, such as survival to discharge, complications, and duration of VA-ECMO support, ICU stay, and total hospital stay.

Results

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics, Pulmonary Embolism Severity and Patient Outcomes

Demographics	Entire Cohort		
Average Age (years ± SD)	49.8 ±16.8		
Gender	3 Female, 6 Male		
Race	4 Black, 5 White		
Average BMI (kg/m ² ± SD)	36.1 ± 6.9		
PE Characteristics and Management	Entire	Survivors	Non-
	Cohort	(n=6)	Survivors
	(n=9)		(n=3)
Average PE Severity Index (± SD)	169.8 ± 49.5	167.5 ± 48.3	174.3 ± 54.4
Average Lactate (mmol/L ± SD)	9.0 ± 4.2	7.6 ± 2.5	11.7 ± 4.3
Mechanical Ventilation	9	6	3
Acute Kidney Injury	9	6	3
IV Vasopressors	9	6	3
Elevated Troponin	8/8	5/5	3/3
Elevated BNP	5/5	4/4	1/1
RV Dysfunction on Imaging	9	6	3
Cardiac Arrest	7	4	3
Contraindication to Lysis	5	4	1
Patient Outcomes	Entire Cohort	Survivors (n=6)	Non- Survivors
	(II=9)	2	(11=3)
Thrombodysis before VA-ECMO	2	2	0
Blooding on VA ECMO	6	3	3
Average Time from BE to Aspiration Thrombostomy	U	5	5
Average fille nonitive to Aspiration fillon bectonly	10d	2.54	0.7d
Average Time from PE to VA ECMO	1.9d	2.5d	0.7d
Average Time from PE to VA-ECMO	1.9d 1.6d	2.5d 2.3d	0.7d 0.3d
Average Time from PE to VA-ECMO Average Time on VA-ECMO for Survivors	1.9d 1.6d n/a	2.5d 2.3d 8.0d	0.7d 0.3d n/a
Average Time from PE to VA-ECMO Average Time on VA-ECMO for Survivors Average ICU Stay after PE for Survivors	1.9d 1.6d n/a n/a	2.5d 2.3d 8.0d 25.4d	0.7d 0.3d n/a n/a
Average Time from PE to VA-ECMO Average Time on VA-ECMO for Survivors Average ICU Stay after PE for Survivors Average Hospital Stay after PE for Survivors	1.9d 1.6d n/a n/a n/a	2.5d 2.3d 8.0d 25.4d 38.2d	0.7d 0.3d n/a n/a n/a
Average Time from PE to VA-ECMO Average Time on VA-ECMO for Survivors Average ICU Stay after PE for Survivors Average Hospital Stay after PE for Survivors Average Time to Death after PE Diagnosis	1.9d 1.6d n/a n/a n/a n/a	2.5d 2.3d 8.0d 25.4d 38.2d n/a	0.7d 0.3d n/a n/a 22.3d

Legend: BMI: Body Mass Index; BNP: Brain Natriuretic Peptide; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; IQR: Interquartile Range; IV: Intravenous; PE: Pulmonary Embolism; RV: Right Ventricle; SD: Standard Deviation; VA-ECMO: Venoarterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

Discussion

- High-risk pulmonary embolism (PE) patients who require VA-ECMO support face a high mortality risk, especially after cardiac arrest or with hemodynamic instability.
- The study demonstrates the feasibility and technical success of performing large-bore aspiration thrombectomy in these critically ill patients, with a 70% survival rate to discharge despite the high-risk nature of the cohort.
- Complications such as bleeding and stroke were observed, highlighting the risks associated with emergent VA-ECMO cannulation and aspiration thrombectomy in this patient population.
- The study's findings align with limited prior data, suggesting that aspiration thrombectomy supported by VA-ECMO may benefit a subset of very high-risk PE patients^{1,3}.
- The small sample size and single-center design limit the generalizability of the results, and further studies are needed to better identify risk factors and optimize treatment strategies for this patient population.

Conclusion

Large-bore aspiration thrombectomy, when supported by VA-ECMO in high-risk pulmonary embolism (PE) patients, is a feasible and potentially life-saving intervention, with a notable survival rate despite the high-risk nature of the cohort.

Future Discussion

Further research is needed to determine the optimal criteria for selecting high-risk pulmonary embolism (PE) patients who would benefit most from VA-ECMO-supported aspiration thrombectomy. Additionally, studies should focus on refining risk stratification parameters, improving the timing of interventions, and minimizing complications associated with this complex management strategy.

Conflicts of Interest

Dr. Arvinda Nanjundappa is a consultant for Argon Medical Devices and Medtronic. Dr. Nanjundappa has speakership roles for Medtronic, Zoll Medical, Recor Medical, and Philips Healthcare. The other authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

1.Kobayashi T, Pugliese S, Sethi SS et al. Contemporary Management and Outcomes of Patients With High-Risk Pulmonary Embolism. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2024 Jan 2;83(1):35-43.

 2.Silver MJ, Gibson CM, Giri J et al. Outcomes in High-Risk Pulmonary Embolism Patients Undergoing FlowTriever Mechanical Thrombectomy or Other Contemporary Therapies: Results From the FLAME Study. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2023 Oct;16(10):e013406.
3.Kucher N, Ouda A, Voci D et al. Percutaneous large-bore aspiration embolectomy with veno-arterial extracorporal membrane oxygenation support or standby in

patients with high-risk pulmonary embolism and contraindications to thrombolysis: a preliminary single centre experience. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2023 Apr 17;12(4):232-236. 4.Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS): The Task Force for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Respir J. 2019 Oct 9;54(3):1901647.